

Representations received in objection to the scheme

- I would like to strongly object to the implementation of residents parking in this area. It has been a very contentious topic in the local area culminating in one person in the area reported to the police for leaving threatening notes on the windscreens of people they assumed were parking incorrectly or illegally.
I have a fixed relatively low monthly income. I'm already beginning to struggle with rent increase, groceries, and the cost of living incrementally increasing every month. Adding in the extra expense of residents parking feels like another unnecessary expense I can ill afford, because some people are precious about not being able to park directly outside their house.
I have been recently made aware by a neighbour that when parking bays were added to several other local streets and roads, there were not enough facilities for everyone who had a car to then be able to park their car even in their own street anymore, for example a side street with 10 houses but only enough parking bays marked for 8 cars.
- I am against the introduction of parking restrictions. I do not find it difficult to find a parking space and do not think the restrictions are needed. I also do not believe it is right to charge residents to park at their own addresses in such a tough financial climate.
- I am writing on behalf of Yorkshire Dental Suite, a trusted provider of dental medical care in the York community.
We recently became aware of the proposed parking restrictions and feel compelled to express our deep concerns regarding their impact on our business and, more importantly, our patients. With only three designated spaces on our premises in Hayley's Terrace, accommodating patients—especially those undergoing major surgeries that last an entire day—is already a challenge. The proposed changes would significantly hinder access to our practice, potentially deterring patients who rely on us for essential medical care.

Furthermore, small businesses like ours play a vital role in serving and enriching the York community. Rather than restricting access, the council should be fostering an environment where businesses can thrive and continue offering critical services. If these restrictions proceed, many businesses may be forced to reconsider their ability to operate within York, which would be detrimental to both practitioners and residents alike.

We strongly urge you to reconsider the proposed changes and take a more in-depth approach to planning—one that thoughtfully considers the needs of small businesses and the community we serve. We kindly urge the council to take the opportunity to find a solution that benefits all parties.

- There are aspects of the scheme which I support. The proposed implementation of double yellow lines to ensure access to the bus stop and cycle lane adjacent to 127-131 Huntington Road is a sensible proposal.

However, my wife and I are concerned about the proposed introduction of permit parking. We believe the implementation and ongoing administration of the scheme will prove costly and inconvenient for residents, while providing minimal benefit — we rarely struggle to find a parking space within a 45 second walk from our front door under current arrangements. Friends who live in locations with permit parking comment that the experience of securing / renewing permits is highly irksome, especially when seeking to accommodate visitors or tradespeople who necessarily require parking for above 1-2 hours.

We are also not concerned by non-residents occasionally using the road to park. Indeed, many of those who do so are NHS workers at the nearby York Hospital, and we are happy that our street gives these valued workers a place to park for free, something their own workplace fails to do

Finally, we are concerned that the Council would consider introducing such a scheme while acknowledging the low response rate from residents to its proposals. This suggests most residents are indifferent to the proposals, and in the absence of strong feeling, the status quo should prevail. If it is not sufficiently broken for the majority of residents to feel strongly about it, then please do not fix it — especially as the fix may prove costly for the Council to implement (e.g. Signage installation costs, admin and enforcement

costs) at a time when local authority budgets are extremely strained.

- I strongly object to this permit proposal. I have lived on Kitchener Street for 15 years and although it does attract a lot of non-residential parking it also provides parking for hospital workers, people collecting takeaways (Chop Suey House) is a thriving business that relies on customers being able to collect their food, and people needing to take/collect their kids to school. The alternative is deliveries which will mean deliveroo style motorbikes, cycles and cars clogging up the street so potentially not being any better off, or worst the business closes. The school having more cars needing to drop kids off near the gate causing further congestion on an already busy road (Haxby Road) the unintended consequences of permitting this area are too numerous to mention and all will have a knock-on effect to local businesses.

I am a homeowner on Kitchener Street, I've watched my neighbours change several times over the years as the street has a lot of rental properties. If you want to be able to park outside your house either rent a house with a drive or buy one if you can. Otherwise, people need to accept when you live on terrace street parking is not a guarantee (or at least not outside your front door) Also, if you do permit the street what alternative parking measure will the council offer?

Lastly, when making these decisions what is the lowest threshold for responses to take this matter further. How many people responded altogether for and against and is it enough to make such a big decision? I appreciate that part is an FOI and you will need to follow correct procedures to answer it.

In the meantime I am objecting to Kitchener Street and surrounding area becoming a permit street/area. All it will do is cause confusion, lead to further traffic issues and make matters worse.

- I would like to object to this further proposed project of residents parking in and around Yearsley Crescent.
Your initial presentation of this project had a low response rate, I believe lower than the threshold needed to proceed with the next stage and yet we have had to object to this silly scheme a second time.

One of the problems in Yearsley Crescent is residents taking it upon themselves to acquire traffic cones (legally?) and use them to mark put their own parking spaces outside their houses. One resident had even begun to leave threatening notes using disgusting language on cars that he didn't want parking in the street, and thankfully this was short-lived as being threatened with "do not park here, this is your first and last warning OR ELSE" . I can't imagine what sort of rage this person holds to be so very stressed about someone parking in a street, not even in front of this person's house. It worries me that I have to live near this person who becomes aggressive at something so minor. Fragile masculinity at it's finest. But I digress.

In this current economic climate, paying extra to park in or around my own street is a price I and others may not be able afford. It will quickly become a financial burden on the several families in the street who have teenage children who are coming up to driving age, or to our students in the street who already use several cars in one property.

Other local streets who have had residents parking have, anecdotally, reported that there are less spaces available in the street than the amount of properties or cars and so people do have difficulty parking within the bounds of their residents parking pass. A residents parking scheme unfairly restricts the amount of visitors and

There is no need for this area to have 24/7 residents parking as there is very little in the way of "parking problems" across the weekend. I would object less strongly to the residents parking that areas like Maple Grove have got where it is Monday to Friday only. The parking restrictions suggested are unnecessary to combat the perceived issues at hand.

- We would like to make it categorically clear that we are strongly opposed to the scheme.

First, and foremost, we own our property and according to the title deeds, that includes the section up to the hedging and walled garden at the front of the property. If this scheme is to be made permanent that would mean that we, and other property owners would be charged for parking on our own land, on our own driveway which is at least unfair and at most discriminatory. We would then be required to pay on top of this if we were to have

visitors to the property for example our daily childcare and any tradespeople.

While the prices are not yet exorbitant, if the scheme is made permanent this will impose yet another unnecessary expense on households. The price of this scheme will not remain stable and will increase year on year on year as per everything else that York Council charge for, with very little in the way of value for money or positive outcome. I think many people would be much more open to the idea of residents parking if it was of reasonable price and represented good value to the people forced to use it.

The available public transport infrastructure in York is generally abysmal which means that owning a car is a necessity for most people especially those with young families.

A very small number of residents own two vehicles with limited driveway parking. Any parking scheme would be adversely expensive for them.

If the council sincerely believe that a residents' parking scheme is needed in this area, we would expect to see a proper survey undertaken with fully published results to measure the number of vehicles using the area, instead of complaints from a minority of vocal residents. We think it is unnecessary and expensive to local residents to solve a very small parking issue which causes little disruption on our road.

- I would like to put forward my objections to the parking restrictions on Huntington Road.

I am the business owner of Beautique Salon 120 Huntington Road and the imposing of these parking restrictions will highly impact on the business itself.

I myself am based in the salon with 3 other members of staff that all offer a plethora of services of which delivery times differs, this can range from 1 hour-6 hours.

The types of services we offer require our customers to stay with us for a longer period of time due to the complex application such as Tattooing and hairdressing. We can in now way expect customer to be moving there car every 1-2 hours, especially if weather conditions outside are not favourable hindering the services outcome. Not only is this not feasible it is in no way professional. Parking restrictions for staff and our customers would

just not be positive in anyway and in fact more likely to be detrimental to the business.

I am unsure as to why restrictions are being applied as there is always plenty of parking down Huntington Road. Residents and ourselves have no current issues, so I can't understand why this is needed.

If you're stating that it's because other road users find it difficult to navigate, putting in place a restriction but still providing permits will in no way solve this. So again I am at a loss as to why a restriction is being implemented.

I strongly disagree with these restrictions as ultimately this is going to hinder and impact my business massively, our customers enjoy the fact they are able to drive to the salon, park up and jump out not having to worry about where to park. The ease of parking outside the salon is part of its appeal. Alternative we will lose business to other salons that are able to offer parking.

Not only are these parking restrictions going to effect my customer's but also my staff have already raised their personal concerns on how it will effect theirs . As a result I have already mentioned that if this is to proceed they may have to leave and find a new premises to work from. Leaving me impacted by both my own customer but also a loss of earnings through my rental income.

I implore of you please do not impose these restrictions, but if you do I alternatively ask you to provide my business with a reasonable amount of parking permits to cover both my staff and our customers so we are in no way effected by these changes.

There is 4 staff in total so I would ask for 4 permits at a bare minimum, then at least 2 more for our customers that are receiving a treatment that requires a lengthy service time.

I really hope you can take my feedback on board and consider how this will impact negatively on my small business, my small business that provides for my family. I ask you to consider the repercussions this will have for all staff involved not just myself and for any other business along Huntington Road.

- I am writing in response to the proposed parking changes on huntington road. While I understand and accept the introduction of a permit system to help manage parking more fairly among

residents, I am very concerned about the planned removal of several existing parking spaces as part of these changes. In particular, the removal of approximately 6 spaces due to the short cycle lane and a designated bus stop bay seems excessive. The current cycle lane on our road is very short and appears to offer limited benefit, as it does not form part of a longer, connected route. Similarly, buses have been operating without a designated bay in the more than 10 years I have lived here, and I have not witnessed any significant issues caused by the current arrangement.

Additionally, I understand there are plans to introduce double yellow lines in an area between two private driveways of house 154 and 156 Huntington road.

However, this particular space has always allowed for a single vehicle to park without obstructing access. Removing this space — despite it being safely usable — would further reduce the already limited number of parking spots available to residents.

As a single woman who often returns home late at night due to working shifts in the nhs I am particularly concerned about the prospect of not being able to park close to my property. Having to walk a considerable distance alone in the dark raises genuine safety concerns for me and, I'm sure, for others in similar circumstances.

I would be grateful if the council could review the necessity of removing these specific spaces and consider maintaining those that are still safely usable — maybe retaining some of the spaces between 123 and 131 particularly the space between the driveways, which does not appear to pose an access issue.

Preserving as many on-street spaces as possible is vital to supporting the safety and wellbeing of residents.

- I am a member of staff based at Beautique Salon, 120 Huntington Road. I run my Hairdressing Business from the salon and have been based here for almost 2 years. I want to firmly set out that the imposing of these parking restrictions will highly impact on my business negatively.

I myself am based in the salon with 3 other members of staff that all offer a plethora of services of which delivery times differs, this can range from 1 hour-6 hours.

The types of services I offer require our customers to stay with me for a longer period of time due to some of the services application time and processes eg. A colour, cut and extension application can take up to 6-8 hours. Because of this I can in no way expect customers to adhere to the 1/2 hour parking restriction.

I also can't be asking them to leave the salon and move their car every 1-2 hours. This would not be conducive towards the service and in fact hinder its outcome, even On a good day never mind a classic English wet rainy day. Not only is asking a Client to move their car not feasible it is in no way professional. Enforcing these parking restrictions is going to highly discourage my customers to attend their appointment with me and drive them away (literally) as they would be nowhere to park! These spending restrictions will have a hugely negative effect on my customers' retainability and my income.

What would a parking restriction for myself as a non resident but someone who spends 8-10 hours a day at the salon mean to me? I would not be able to get into work and stay parked. I would not be able to earn money and make a living. This is just not right and I need to understand what you're going to do for business and people like me who rely on ease of access for work and for returning clients.

I am unsure as to why restrictions are being applied as there is always plenty of parking down Huntington Road. Residents and ourselves have no current issues, so I can not understand why this is needed.

If you're stating that it's because other road users find it difficult to navigate, putting in place a restriction but still providing permits will in no way solve this. So again I am at a loss as to why a restriction is being implemented.

I strongly disagree with these restrictions as ultimately this is going to hinder and impact my business massively, my customers enjoy the fact they are able to drive to the salon, park up and jump out not having to worry about where to park. The ease of parking outside the salon is part of its appeal. Alternatively we will lose business to other salons that are able to offer parking.

I beg of you please do not impose these restrictions, as it will make no difference to residents, or other traffic users but will have a negative effect on my business. If you do put them in I want you to tell me what you are going to do for people like me and my

customers? Will you provide us with parking permits for both me and my customers?

I really hope you can take my feedback on board and answer some of my questions. I also ask you to consider how this will impact negatively on my small hairdressing business that has provided for my family for the past 10 years. Please don't just think about the residents along Huntington Road but also the business.

- I am writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed resident-only parking in area R67: Huntington Road.
I am disappointed to learn that a decision has been made to legally advertise the introduction of this proposal. According to the report from the Decision Session held on January 20th, this option was not recommended as it does not align with the officers' current approach. I would appreciate it if you could provide further information regarding the rationale behind the dismissal of option 1, which was the recommended option. Furthermore, the petitions received since 2019 are minimal compared to the total number of residents in the area, which does not accurately reflect the views of the community.
The report also indicates that, based on the officers' current policy, it is not advisable to progress with Resident's Priority Parking schemes unless a response rate of 50% is achieved. The consultation document sent on August 22nd 2024, received only a 23.59% response rate. Given this and the recommendations outlined in the report, I believe that no further action should be taken at this time, and the area should be removed from the resident parking consultation waiting list.
Additionally, I would like to emphasise that the financial burden of a parking permit for a single-occupancy household is challenging to manage alongside my other bills. While I feel fortunate to live in this area for the last 7 years, the prospect of this additional cost is concerning and would make me consider moving, which is increasingly difficult due to rising living costs, especially as a single person. I also anticipate that this permit fee will increase annually without adequate notice or justification.
Thank you for taking the time to consider my response to the proposal. I sincerely hope that my concerns will be taken into account.

- As a new mother, the financial burden associated with obtaining permits, particularly for two vehicles as required by my household, is significant. This expense would adversely affect our ability to receive essential childcare. Additionally, it would complicate arrangements for our grandparents to visit and provide care for our child, as the cost of visitor permits is another expense. Their support is invaluable to our family, and the proposed parking permits would disrupt this vital arrangement.

If a permit structure is deemed necessary, I respectfully suggest a more flexible approach, such as implementing permits only from Monday to Friday, 9 AM to 5 PM. This would facilitate easier access for families and visitors during evenings and weekends while still addressing any parking concerns during regular business hours.

Regrettably, should the permit system be enacted, we may be forced to consider selling our property, as this additional expense was not anticipated when we moved here six years ago and subsequently welcomed a child. We believe that such a measure is unnecessary for our street, and there are alternative solutions that could be explored prior to resorting to a permit scheme that may appear to be financially motivated.

We are firmly against the introduction of these permits and feel that had more time been allocated during the initial phase of this proposal, there would likely have been a greater response from the community opposing it.

- I would like to use this email to address my objections to the parking restrictions put forward for Huntington Road. I am a member of staff based at a business on Huntington Road. I run my tattooing Business from the salon. I want to firmly set out that the imposing of these parking restrictions will highly impact on my business negatively.

The types of services I offer require my customers to stay with with me for a longer period of time due to some of the services application timeand depending on what design the client is after, it can take up to 6-8 hour sometimes even longer. Because of this I can in now way expect customers to adhere to the 1/2 hour parking restriction.

I also can't be asking them to leave the salon and move there car every 1-2 hours. This would not be conducive towards the service

and in fact hinder its outcome, even On good day never mind a wet day the tattoo would be compromised. Not only is asking a Client to move there car not feasible it is in no way professional. Inforcing there parking restrictions is going to highly discouraged my customers to attend there appointment with me and drive them away (literally) as they would be no where to park! Theses spending restrictions will have a hugely negative effect on my customers retainability and my income.

What would a parking restrictions for myself as a non resident but someone who spends 8-10 hours a day at the salon mean to me? I would not be able to get into work and stay parked. I would not be able to earn money and make a living. This is just not right and I need to understand what you're going to do for business and people like me who rely on ease of access for work and for returning clients.

I strongly disagree with these restrictions as ultimately this is going to hinder and impact my business massively, my customers enjoy the fact they are able to drive to the salon, park up and jump out not having to worry about where to park. The ease of parking outside the salon is part of its appeal. Alternative we will lose business to other tattoo artists that are able to offer parking.

I beg of you please do not impose these restrictions, as it will make no difference to residents, or other traffic users but will have a negative effect on my business. If you do put them in I want you to tell me what you are going to do for people like me and my customers? Will you provide us with parking permits for both me and my customers?

I really hope you can take my feedback on board and answer some of my questions. I also ask you to consider how this will impact negatively on my small tattooing business. Please don't just think about the residents along Huntington Road but also the business.